DENIED: May 13, 2021

CBCA 6175, 6176

INNOTION ENTERPRISES, INC.,

Appellant,

v.

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT,

Respondent.

Doug P. Hibshman and Nicholas T. Solosky of Fox Rothschild LLP, Washington, DC, counsel for Appellant.

Jonathan English and Julie Cannatti, Office of General Counsel, Department of Housing and Urban Development, Washington, DC, counsel for Respondent.

Before Board Judges SOMERS (Chair), BEARDSLEY, and SHERIDAN.

SHERIDAN, Board Judge.

Innotion Enterprises, Inc. (Innotion) seeks damages arising out of the Department of Housing and Urban Development's (HUD) decisions denying Innotion's claims for payment for certain custodial property inspections performed by appellant under HUD Field Service Management 3.10 contracts. The Board stayed these appeals pending resolution of *Purdy Enterprise*, *LLC v. Department of Housing & Urban Development*, CBCA 6128, et al. (Mar. 15, 2021), and the appeal of *P.K. Management Group, Inc. v. Secretary of Housing & Urban Development*, CBCA 6185, 19-1 BCA ¶ 37,417, *aff'd*, *P.K. Management Group, Inc. v. Secretary of Housing & Urban Development*, 987 F.3d. 1030 (Fed. Cir. 2021), to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

CBCA 6175, 6176

Once we decided the issue in HUD's favor in *Purdy*, we issued a show cause order directing appellant to explain why these appeals should not also be denied. Appellant has failed to do so. Accordingly, these appeals are denied.

Decision

We **DENY** these appeals.

Patricia J. Sheridan

PATRICIA J. SHERIDAN Board Judge

We concur:

Jerí Kaylene Somers

JERI KAYLENE SOMERS Board Judge Eríca S. Beardsley

ERICA S. BEARDSLEY Board Judge