



UNITED STATES  
CIVILIAN BOARD OF CONTRACT APPEALS

---

September 8, 2014

CBCA 3862-RELO

In the Matter of RICHARD A. KEELE

Richard A. Keele, Kiowa, CO, Claimant.

John M. Whittington, Chief, Civil Law Division, Department of the Air Force, Joint Base Elmendorf-Richardson, AK, appearing for Department of the Air Force.

**SOMERS**, Board Judge.

By submission dated July 24, 2014, claimant, Richard A. Keele, seeks reconsideration of our ruling denying a portion of his claim in *Richard A. Keele*, CBCA 3862-RELO (July 23, 2014). That matter primarily concerned claimant's request for additional reimbursement for closing costs. We denied the claim because the agency properly applied the applicable statute and regulations. The agency responded by recommending that the claimant's request for reconsideration be denied.

Claimant raises nothing new or noteworthy in his request for reconsideration. First, claimant asserts that, according to the Department of Housing and Urban Development's handbook, the Federal Housing Authority (FHA) loan used in this case has the advantage of allowing "the seller and/or third party [to] contribute up to six percent of the lesser of the property's sale price or the appraised value toward the buyer's closing costs, prepaid expenses, discount points or other financing concessions." Second, in addition to resubmitting information provided previously, claimant added an email exchange between the mortgage loan processing manager and a representative of the title company that allegedly "supports the claim and provides proof that the claimed expenses were customary for the region as well as customary based on the type of FHA loan."

Board Rule 407 (48 CFR 6104.407 (2013)), the rule governing motions for reconsideration, provides that “[m]ere disagreement with a decision or re-argument of points already made is not a sufficient ground for seeking reconsideration.” Claimant presents nothing new to support his claim that could not have been presented previously, nor is the additional information now presented sufficient to warrant reconsideration of his claim.

The motion for reconsideration is denied.

---

JERI KAYLENE SOMERS  
Board Judge