United States
Civilian Board of Contract Appeals

GRANTED IN PART: February 7, 2008

CBCA 386

THE SPECTOR GROUP,

Appellant,
v.

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION,
Respondent.
Matthew S. Quinn and Christopher P. McCabe of Quinn McCabe, LLC, New York, NY, counsel for Appellant.

Thomas Hawkins, Office of General Counsel, General Services Administration, Washington, DC, counsel for Respondent.

Before Board Judges BORWICK, PARKER, and GOODMAN.

BORWICK, Board Judge.

This appeal involves a claim in the amount of $\$ 1,692,175$ for additional work under appellant's contract for professional services in connection with the design and construction of the United States Courthouse, Central Islip, New York.

The parties have entered into a second and final settlement of their dispute--for $\$ 200,000$, plus interest as allowed under the Contract Disputes Act, 41 U.S.C. §§ 601-613, to be computed from the date of April 6, 2003. ${ }^{1}$ The parties have requested that the Board

[^0]adopt their settlement by decision pursuant to Rule 25(b) and have stated that neither party will seek reconsideration of, or relief from, the Board's decision and that neither party will appeal the decision.

## Decision

Pursuant to the agreement of the parties and Rule 25(b), the Board adopts the parties' stipulation by decision. This appeal is GRANTED IN PART in the amount of \$200,000 plus interest under the Contract Disputes Act to be computed from April 6, 2003.

ANTHONY S. BORWICK
Board Judge

We concur:

ROBERT W. PARKER
Board Judge

ALLAN H. GOODMAN
Board Judge
litigation. That litigation has now been resolved. Turner Construction Co. v. General Services Administration, CBCA 387, et al., 07-2 BCA 『 33,670.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ By earlier stipulation, the parties settled a portion of their dispute for $\$ 700,000$. The Spector Group v. General Services Administration, GSBCA 15322 (July 29, 2003). The parties agreed that an additional $\$ 200,000$ was to be paid upon resolution of related

